AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 26, 1996 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JANUARY 11, 1996

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—1995-96 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1651

Introduced by Assembly Member Richter

February 24, 1995

An act to amend Section 25360 of, to add Section 25360.1 to, and to add Article 4.5 (commencing with Section 25346) to Chapter 6.8 of Division 20 of, the Health and Safety Code, relating to hazardous substances.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1651, as amended, Richter. Hazardous substances: site mitigation program oversight: cost recovery.

Existing law, the Carpenter-Presley-Tanner Hazardous Substance Account Act, authorizes the Department of Toxic Substances Control to expend the money in the Hazardous Control Account in the General appropriation by the Legislature, to pay for, among other things, removal and remedial actions related to the release of hazardous substances. Existing law authorizes the Attorney General to recover from the liable person, as defined, the costs incurred and payable from the account or the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Fund for a removal or remedial action to a hazardous substance release. Under existing law, the amount of any costs which may be recovered under those provisions for a remedial or removal action paid from the Hazardous AB 1651 -2-

Substance Cleanup Fund is required to include the amount paid from that fund and interest on that amount calculated at a rate equal to the interest rate of the bonds sold pursuant to the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Bond Act of 1984. Existing law also imposes liability upon those liable persons for administrative costs in an amount equal to 10% of the reasonable cost actually incurred, or \$500, whichever is greater. Existing law requires the State Board of Equalization to assess a fee of \$8,000 upon a potentially responsible party for oversight of a preliminary endangerment assessment by the department.

This bill would make a statement of legislative intent, would define terms, and would require the department to adopt regulations and take specified actions for purposes of clarifying and improving the recovery of oversight costs expended pursuant to the act and the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 develop a concise statement of its cost recovery policies billing procedures, including dispute procedures and availability of program guidance and policies, and distribute to all responsible parties. The bill would require the department to take specified actions to improve the tracking of direct oversight costs and indirect oversight costs, as defined, to establish rates for indirect oversight costs which are specific to the site mitigation each program, and to review the department's cost recovery policies at least once every 2 years, and to adopt regulations specifying consistent criteria for assessing administrative costs. The bill would also require the department to adopt specified procedures with regard to potentially responsible parties performing substance release site investigations and cleanups, including a meet and confer process, to adopt a billing system, as prescribed, for oversight costs, and to take specified actions with regard to uncollectible accounts.

The department would be required to submit a report to the Legislature, by June 1, 1998, regarding the implementation of those requirements—and recommendations for increasing the cost effectiveness of the department's site mitigation program.

—3— AB 1651

The bill would instead provide that the amount of any remedial or removal action costs that may be recovered for a remedial or removal action paid from the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Fund includes interest on any amount paid from the fund calculated at a rate equal to the interest rate of the bonds sold pursuant to the bond act and that the interest on any amount paid from the state account or the Site Remediation Account shall be calculated at the rate of return earned on investment in the Surplus Money Investment Fund. The bill would also subject any monetary obligation to the department pursuant to the hazardous waste control laws or the hazardous substance account act to interest from the date of the demand at the same rate of return earned on investment in the Surplus Money Investment Fund. The bill would delete the provision specifying the liability for administrative costs.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Article 4.5 (commencing with Section 25346) is added to Chapter 6.8 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, to read: 3

4 5 6

Article 4.5. Site Mitigation Department Oversight Costs

7 8

10

25346. The Legislature hereby finds and declares all of the following:

- (a) To enhance cooperation between the department 11 and the regulated community, and to reduce the state's costs associated with the oversight of site mitigation cleanup efforts, the costs of the associated cost recovery 13 program and the corresponding costs to the responsible oversight 15 parties involved, the program should administered efficient, 16 an responsible, and 17 accountable manner.
- 18 (b) According to information provided the department has collected more 19 Legislature, the than

AB 1651 __4__

10 11

12

13

17

21 22

25

26 27

28

32

33

38

39

seventy-one million dollars (\$71,000,000) since the cost recovery effort was begun in the early 1980s and there is approximately seventy million dollars (\$70,000,000) to million dollars (\$80,000,000) outstanding eighty in 5 receivables for disputed site cleanup oversight costs. The information provided to the Legislature indicates that potentially responsible parties have complained that the department's oversight costs have been unpredictable, 8 9 unsubstantiated, and exceedingly high.

- (c) Disputes with potentially responsible parties over the reasonableness of oversight costs have been a major difficulty factor in the that the department experienced in conducting cost recovery. Disputes of that 14 kind substantially increase the cost of state operations and the cost of doing business for the private sector, leading 16 to extended negotiations and litigation. The redirection of resources by both parties in attempting to resolve those 18 differences most likely inhibit cleanup efforts and affect the ability of the parties to work together cooperatively, 20 thereby exacerbating the costs associated with the site mitigation. cleanups. Disputes would be reduced by clarifying current law by providing definitions of direct and indirect oversight costs. Further, these high costs affect the competitiveness of California businesses in national and global business environments.
 - 25346.1. For purposes of this article, the following terms have the following meaning:
 - (a) "Direct oversight costs" means the costs to the department of overseeing a remediation cleanup action that can be specifically attributed to a particular cost objective, including, but not limited to, sites, facilities, and activities.
- (b) "Indirect oversight costs" means the costs to the 34 department of overseeing a remediation action which are incurred for a common or joint purpose benefiting more 36 than one cost objective and which are not readily 37 assignable to the cost objective that is specifically benefited.
- (c) "Operating expenses and equipment" means all nonpersonnel department operating expenses, 40

5 AB 1651

including, but not limited to, communications, utilities, insurance, vehicle use, equipment, printing, postage, facility leasing, general operating supplies, and office machine maintenance.

- (d) "Site mitigation program" means the activities taken by the department pursuant to this chapter and under the federal act.
- (e) department of activity that is of a common or joint purpose benefiting more than one cost objective and not readily assignable to a single case objective.
- (c) "Pro rata" means the general administrative costs expended by central service agencies to provide centralized services to the department.
- 25346.2. (a) The department shall adopt regulations and take the actions specified in this article for purposes of clarifying and improving the recovery of oversight costs expended pursuant to this chapter and under the federal act.
- (b) The department shall adopt regulations specifying consistent criteria for assessing the administrative costs specified in subdivision (b) of Section 25360.
- 25346.3. The department shall take all of the following actions to improve the tracking of direct oversight costs:
- (a) Implement accurate work timekeeping and the correct identification of direct time spent, including making department staff timesheets accurate to the nearest quarter of an hour.
- (2) Require that timesheets shall be completed daily and that supervisors take appropriate action to ensure that department staff are recording work time daily.
- (3) Modify the daily logs so that detailed descriptions can be maintained for all work performed by department staff, and so that the amount of detail in the daily logs is of a sufficient level to allow for a meaningful audit of the department's activities.
- (4) Make available copies of department staff timesheets and daily logs to responsible parties, on a monthly basis, upon request.
- 39 (5) Allow potentially responsible parties to comment 40 on the level and sufficiency of detail in the daily logs.

AB 1651 -6

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

26 27

30

32

33

35

37

38

(6) Require daily logs to be routinely and systematically reviewed by supervisory personnel to ensure adequate detail.

- (7) Train department staff on the completion of daily logs to ensure that the logs accurately reflect the activity at the site or facility.
- (8) Require department staff who record direct activities, and their supervisors, to receive continuing and frequent training, along with a comprehensive 10 explanation of the effect that timesheet hours have on the operation of potentially responsible parties and the state. centralized services to state agencies, as defined in the State Administrative Manual.
 - 25346.2. The department shall develop a concise statement of its cost recovery policies and billing procedures, including dispute resolution procedures and availability of program guidance and policies, distribute the statement to all responsible parties.
 - 25346.4. The department shall take the following actions with regard to the tracking of indirect oversight costs:
 - (a) Ensure that pro rata costs are allocated appropriately to all departmental activities, so that the site mitigation department's program will only bear these pro rata costs in proportion to the benefits received by potentially responsible parties.
- (b) Routinely include operating expenses 28 indirect oversight costs and allocate those expenses using site mitigation ensure that the department's program only bears indirect oversight costs in proportion to the benefits received by potentially responsible parties.
- (c) Include, in the calculation of indirect oversight 34 costs, the costs of general administration, performance-based budget and strategic planning, 36 guidance, policy, and procedure, training, development and delivery, training received, program evaluation and audit, legislation review and development, case development and cost recovery, first- and second-level

—7— AB 1651

general supervision, and technical officer services, but exclude

3

4

5

12 13

16 17

19

21

24

30

33

34

- (c) Exclude, from indirect oversight costs, the costs of grant development and administration. fee development administration, contract and administration, and public and governmental inquiries.
- 25346.5. (a) The department shall establish rates for indirect oversight costs that are specific to the site mitigation each program and shall review and update the 10 indirect cost rates based upon increases or decreases in the amounts of grants received by the department, department reorganizations, and other relevant factors, but not less than once every six months, based upon the previous 12 months of expenditure data. The department shall apply the indirect oversight cost rates prospectively and shall not make retroactive adjustments in those rates.
- (b) The department shall review the department's 18 cost recovery policies at least once every two years.
- 25346.6. The department shall take the following 20 actions with regard to the department's relationship with potentially responsible parties: the parties who performing the investigation and cleanup of the 23 hazardous substance release site.
- (a) Adopt procedures to improve communication, 25 facilitate the exchange of ideas, eliminate surprises, and allow better financial planning by the department and potentially responsible parties, including a meet and confer process which includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:
 - (1) An estimate of the cost of site remediation by the department for the next phase of the site remediation activity, including a list of estimated personnel labor rates.
- (2) An estimate of the total hours that the department 35 expects the department staff to incur in the next phase of 36 the site mitigation process, to the extent that the department can project its time and costs in advance. 37 That estimate shall include the projected hours of the project manager, and the costs of public participation, legal counsel, and technical consultations.

AB 1651 **—8** —

9

12

15

16

17

20

21

25

26

27 28

31

- (3) A discussion of the schedule for the remediation action, including a thorough review of the services that department expects to provide, deliverables, the timeframes, expectations of both parties, a process for status reporting by both parties, systematic billing at least once every three months by the department, and an agreement on how the work plan will be modified, and how the costs will be estimated.
- (b) Develop a concise statement of its cost recovery procedures, including 10 policies and billing resolution procedures and the availability of program guidance and policies, which shall be distributed to all 13 potentially responsible parties before 14 remediation commences, as part of the meet and confer process.
- (c) Review all informal guidance documents for the program, recovery including fee bulletins. cost 18 management memos, policies, and procedures, review and update those documents, as appropriate.
 - (d) Establish a procedure, when there is a change of project manager for a remediation action, to provide for a detailed status briefing to identify the highlights of past work and identify the current areas of agreement and disagreement among the parties.
 - 25346.7. The department shall adopt a billing system for oversight costs which meets all of the following criteria:
 - (a) Invoices shall be issued quarterly, not more than 45 days from the date of the close of each quarterly billing period, with appropriate incentives for prompt payment.
- (a) Invoices shall be issued within 60 days to the extent 32 practicable, with appropriate incentives for prompt payment. In no event shall invoices be issued less 34 frequently than on a quarterly basis.
- (b) Invoices shall be mailed to the correct person for 36 the potentially responsible party.
- included with each (c) Sufficient detail shall 37 be 38 invoice, so that the potentially responsible party can relate the items on the invoice to the benefits received,

_ 9 __ **AB 1651**

1 additional details, including daily and timesheet personnel data, shall be made readily available.

3

5

6

9

15

17

19

20

21 22

24

28

30

35

36

- (d) Invoices shall be supplemented with statements of any changes in rates and a detailed justification for any such changes.
- (e) Invoices shall be reviewed for accuracy appropriateness by a member of the department staff who has direct knowledge of the remediation action.
- (f) Invoices shall be reasonably consistent with 10 expectations regarding costs, benefits, and outcomes developed during the meet and confer process specified 12 in subdivision (a) of Section 25346.6, if the department's 13 knowledge of site conditions or other factors which may 14 substantially impact the department's costs associated with the site, have not changed significantly since the last 16 conference.
- (g) A process for the timely review and settlement of 18 any outstanding accounts shall be developed implemented.

25346.8. The department shall take all of the following actions with regard to uncollectible accounts:

- (a) Review all current outstanding receivables and 23 make appropriate adjustment for estimated an uncollectible amounts, consistent with current accounting practices and recognizing the present value of future collection. The department may, if warranted, write off or write down those receivable amounts.
- (b) Maintain and report an analysis of outstanding 29 receivables and other control analyses.
- (c) Consider whether to enter into a contract with a private collection agency to collect substantially past-due accounts and, for longer term receivables, consider whether credit arrangements should be made with banks other institutions willing to assist in financing a 34 or potentially responsible party's obligation for remediation.

25346.9. On or before June 1, 1998, the department, in Secretary 37 consultation with the for Environmental 38 Protection, shall provide the Legislature with a written shall Protection, make available a written regarding the implementation of, and the effectiveness AB 1651 **— 10 —**

of, the changes required by this article on the department's cost effectiveness, and the department's 3 recommendations for additional structural or program changes that it believes should be implemented to further 5 increase the cost effectiveness of the department's site mitigation program. the changes required by this article. 6

SEC. 2. Section 25360 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

25360. (a) Any costs incurred and payable from the 10 state account, the Site Remediation Account, or the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Fund shall be-recovered 12 recoverable by the Attorney General, upon the request 13 of the department, from the liable person or persons. The 14 amount of any remedial or removal action costs which 15 that may be recovered pursuant to this section, for a 16 remedial or removal action paid from the Hazardous 17 Substance Cleanup Fund, shall include the amount paid 18 from that fund and interest on that any amount paid from 19 the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Fund calculated at a 20 rate equal to the interest rate of the bonds sold pursuant to Article 7.5 (commencing with Section 25385) and 22 interest on any amount paid from the state account or the Site Remediation Account, calculated at the rate of 24 return earned on investment in the Surplus Money 25 Investment Fund pursuant to Section 16475 of the 26 Government Code.

- (b) In addition to the costs specified in subdivision (a), 28 the person or persons are liable to the department for administrative costs in an amount equal to 10 percent of 30 the reasonable cost actually incurred, or five hundred dollars (\$500), whichever is greater.
- (e) A person who is liable for costs incurred at a site, which are payable from the state account, the Site 34 Remediation Account, or Hazardous Substance the Cleanup Fund, shall have the liability reduced by any fee pursuant to this chapter that was actually paid by that person in connection with that site, including any fee paid pursuant to Section 25343.

39 (d)

27

31

32 33

35

37

38

7

8

— 11 — **AB 1651**

(c) The amount of cost determined pursuant to this section shall be recoverable at the discretion of the department, either in a separate action or by way of 4 intervention as of right in an action for contribution or indemnity. Nothing in this section deprives a party of any defense he or she may have.

(e)

1

7

8

- (d) Moneys recovered by the Attorney General pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the state 10 account, except that, if the costs incurred were paid from the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Fund, the Attorney 12 General shall deposit the amounts recovered into the 13 Hazardous Substance Clearing Account. Moneys 14 deposited in the Hazardous Substance Clearing Account 15 pursuant to this section are available to pay the principal 16 of, and interest on, bonds sold pursuant to Article 7.5 (commencing with Section 25385).
- SEC. 3. Section 25360.1 is added to the Health and 18 19 Safety Code, to read:
- 20 25360.1. Any monetary obligation to the department 21 pursuant to Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 22 25100) or this chapter shall be subject to interest from the 23 date of the demand at the same rate of return earned on 24 investment in the Surplus Money Investment Fund 25 pursuant to Section 16475 of the Government Code, 26 except the department may waive the interest if the 27 obligation is satisfied within 60 days from the date of 28 invoice.